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The city of Everett would benefit from an increase in diversity on the City Council. As seen with other at-large electoral systems, many residents feel the city council members are not accessible and less responsive to those not adequately represented by the current electoral system (Compton, 2003). Lack of representation by the southern region of the city and a lack of diversity in socio-economic status, class, gender, ethnicity and race are seen as a root cause of apathy. Apathy has resulted in low voter turn out, uncontested elections and limited citizen involvement.

While advancements have been made to increase transparency in Everett’s government, there exists a need to increase democracy and improve turn out. This proposal explains how creating voting districts of 5 districts and 2 at large positions, would decrease apathy, increase representation and improve the quality of life of all residents. Included is research on why districts are needed in Everett and how a more inclusive voting process and accurate representation would align with the city’s growth.

The Charter Review Committee has the authority to advise the council on this issue-and respond to the needs for residents and the decade long call for increased representation and work to heal the divide between north and south Everett (Everett Herald Editorial, 2012). The Committee should review the data and facts of this issue and vote in favor of recommending a mixed district system to the Council to be placed on the next general election ballot, with a map of boundaries to be determined by a committee.

The City Council has the legislative power to evaluate and recommend a change from an at-large to a mixed electoral system. If the council chooses, a majority can approve to make this electoral change, or allow the voters to decide by placing the issue on the ballot and letting voters decide. A third option is a citizen initiative petition to bring mixed districted to the ballot.

Voting Districts Improve Quality of Life
Research indicates that serving a broad constituency reduces an elected official’s accountability (Compton, 2003, page 9). Additionally, at-large elections require more campaign spending, this creates a barrier to entry for potential candidates and limits the accessibility of those who are not strong fundraisers or independently wealthy to participate in the political process. This restricts the pool of candidates who may be leaders in their neighborhood, but unable to fund a campaign. The broader impact is that local office is a frequent starting point for state and federal elected officials (Adams, 2010). There remains a strong relationship between city council electoral systems and the amount of campaign funds spent by winning candidates. Candidates for at-large contests expend a far greater amount of funds than district candidates (Malinowski, 2013).
When there is a material and geographically concentrated minority population, there is strong
evidence that changing from an at-large to a district system increases the number of minority
city councilmembers (Heilig, 1983; Trounstine, 2008). Including our minority population in the
political process is of utmost importance for Everett. Improved representation translates to
better inclusion and more involvement. One measurement of quality of life or well-being is civic
and community involvement. Government is part of the human social system, which includes
social institutions, social cycles and social order. Social institutions, including our local
government, is defined as a collective solutions to universal social challenges or needs (Machlis,
Force & Burch, 1997). Involvement in this social institution and improved quality of life, can be
measured with political participation, resulting in feelings of autonomy, competence and
relatedness (Weitz-Shapiro and Winters, 2008).

**Everett’s Current Electoral System**
The current electoral system contributes to the northern part of city being a disproportionate
beneficiary of public investments and city services, including the library, sewer improvement
and emphasis on public safety and reducing the perception of the homeless population in the
downtown core.

Everett has a geographic bias on the current council, as 6 of the 7 current council members
reside in the northern boundaries of the city (north of 41st street). Everett is a city made of
individualized neighborhoods and our life experiences vary between neighborhoods. Appendix
A includes census maps of Everett for median household income, population over 65 years old,
and number of residents in the labor force. These maps illustrate the sharp contrast of
economic and socio economic status of the city and offer a visual representation of the variance
of life experiences in different geographic regions of the city.

How do similar sized cities compare to Everett? Research indicates there is
a clear trend as cities increase in number of residents, they are more likely to have district voting
and mixed voting and less likely to rely on at-large voting. As illustrated in
Appendix chart B, a city of Everett size (estimated at 120,000) is at the tipping
point toward districts and mixed system. The city is expected to grow by 30,000 by the year 2025 (Everett Comprehensive
Plan, page 14); this also supports a need to use district voting and is in line with trends in cities of similar size.
**Voting Districts Are Improving Representation in Other Washington Cities**

In Seattle, the council voted in a majority of women on the city council. The primary election in 2015 had an unprecedented number of candidates-over 40 for 9 districts. This increase of involvement by new candidates demonstrates increased involvement in the political process (Crosscut, 2015).

In Yakima, newly districted elections allowed for the first ever Latino candidates to advance to the City Council. “For the first time in Yakima’s history a Latino candidate is guaranteed election to the City Council as two advanced in in the race for District 1, and three more are advancing to the general election in other district races” (Fault, 2015).

Comparable cities in Washington use mixed wards or district system to select their council members, including seven out of ten similar First Class Cities (Aberdeen, Bellingham, Bremerton, Seattle, Spokane, Tacoma, and Yakima). Moreover, Everett has unique regional challenges that require a broader representation to solve-such as crime and gang activity on Casino Road, homeless encampments along the river, traffic near the mall. These challenges take on a different understanding when you are part of the community and living in the affected area.

**Districts Based on Neighborhood and Population**

In keeping with the same number of council members, 5 districts based on the current neighborhood boundaries, census tract and equal population size are proposed, along with 2 at large positions. Mixed districts, with at large and districts, offer geographic diversity and overall stewardship. Maintaining the same total number of seven, prevents increase in human resource costs. See map of proposed Mixed District boundaries in appendix C. The proposed map and districts boundaries were drawn by Dr. Richard Morrill, Professor Emeritus, University of Washington. Dr. Morrill assisted with the district boundaries in Seattle and is a noted expert in his field with over 50 years of experience.

Some argue that at-large elections provide a broad perspective or a city wide perspective, for this reason, we recommend a mixed scenario with districts and at large positions. Mixed districts maintain a city-wide presence of the council and promote geographic diversity and representation. Electing our council members by district would increase voter participation, decrease voter apathy, and improve our connection to council members. District boundaries would be adjusted with population changes along with the 10-year census.

**Everett Council Can Exercise The Legislative Authority to Establish Districts**

With confirmation from the Department of Elections, a mixed 5-2 electoral system can be established in three ways. First, the council can vote by majority to establish districts in Everett. Second, the council can vote to place mixed districts on the general election or special election ballot and let the people of Everett vote to elect council members by district. And third, residents can gather signatures and place mixed districts on the ballot by a voter initiative. This proposal recommends that the Charter Review Committee review the evidence and recommend a vote by the council to place district elections on the soonest eligible voter ballot.
While this proposal outlines mixed districts as a remedy to improve the lack of representation and unfair influence of moneyed interests, other solutions exists for a more balanced government and electoral system.

Everett is facing important regional challenges—school funding, high crime rate, park maintenance, and new housing options for low-income residents. The city also has important future decisions on regional issues such as transportation. Everett would benefit by addressing the geographic, gender, socioeconomic, racial and ethic minority disparity on the council.

**Commonly Raised Questions**

Is this Gerrymandering? Establishing district voting for council members is nothing like gerrymandering. District boundaries are based on the general population and not voter preference or party affiliation. State law requires that districts be based on an equal population number, according to the most recent census; boundaries will be drawn based on existing neighborhoods and natural boundaries (rivers, major roads, etc.).

Will we vote less often? No, all voters would still be voting in every election for council members. By creating districts, we return city government to voters and our communities and you’d have a council member who represents you! At-large elections could be held every 2 years to increase the number of candidates and number of candidates on the ballot. Everett has districts for Port of Everett representation, Snohomish County council members are voted in by districts and our State legislature and Federal congressional representative are all voted on using districts. A majority (70% or 7 out of 10) of cities comparable to Everett (First Class Cities), use mixed or district voting for council members.

Are there qualified candidates in the other areas of the city? Yes! We have PTA presidents, Church leaders, non-profit leaders, small business owners, and little league coaches, the list goes on! There are countless leaders already active and involved in their community, we need to encourage these leaders to be involved in our city government.

Why Should I vote for this? People on the Council represent me. We have an imbalance of power in Everett and our southern neighbors, women, minorities and others are not fairly represented. Establishing mixed district elections would return city government to voters and our communities and is supported by local leaders including 38th LD Representatives June Robinson and Mike Sells, State Senator John McCoy, and County Councilmember Brian Sullivan. Most importantly, voters are asking for a more democratic and fair voting—they deserve the chance to vote on this issue by putting it on the ballot!
There are 3 women on the council, isn’t that enough? In the nearly 150-year history of Everett there have been a total of 4 women and 3 minorities elected to the council. We have a right to a legal cause of action where members of the protected class do not have an equal opportunity to elect their preferred candidate or influence the election. This overly simplistic bar graph is intended to illustrate that simply saying 3 women on council is enough is unacceptable! We need a voting system that supports systematic change based on the principles of justice and equality!

Questions or comments? Please contact Megan Dunn at dunnmegan@hotmail.com or sign up to get involved by emailing Districts4Everett@gmail.com!
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Appendix A-
Median Household Income-Everett
This map represents the disparity in median household income in the different geographic neighborhoods of Everett.
Residents in the Labor Force-Everett
This map represents the disparity in % in the labor force in the different geographic neighborhoods of Everett.
Residents 65 and over-Everett: This map represents the disparity in age distribution in the different geographic neighborhoods of Everett.
Appendix B-Electoral System for US Cities by Population

Background

Electoral system for US cities by population

Note: electoral system for cities >250,000 as of 2012, for cities <250,000 from 1998 (T. Christensen, Local Politics)

Chart from (Malinowski, 2013).
Appendix C - Proposed Mixed District Map - Prepared by Dr. Morrill