


**Q1 Using the map above, what is the estimated distance to and name of the park closest to your residence?**


Answered: 859 Skipped: 12



	0.25 miles	0.50 miles	over 0.50 miles	Total
Distance to closest park	60% 519	22% 190	17% 150	859

**Q2 In general, how would you rate the quantity and quality of the existing inventory of parks, recreation, open spaces, and trails provided in Everett by the city, school districts, county, port, state, and all other public agencies?**


Answered: 867 Skipped: 4



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
Quantity - the number and size of existing park and trail sites to serve the existing population	2% 14	10% 84	48% 417	36% 313	4% 39	867
Location - the location of existing parks and trails in relation to your neighborhood	3% 24	12% 98	38% 312	38% 312	9% 78	824
Quality - the physical condition of park furnishings including parking, restrooms, trails, courts, fields, and picnic shelters	3% 23	17% 143	46% 398	30% 256	5% 41	861

**Q3 By the year 2035, the Everett population is expected to increase by another 28,000 people or by 27%. In your opinion, will existing park and recreation facilities currently provided by all agencies in Everett be sufficient to provide for this population increase?**


Answered: 866 Skipped: 5



	No	Yes	Don't know	Total
Will existing park and recreation facilities be enough to provide for this population increase?	69% 598	10% 87	21% 181	866

**Q4 WATERFRONTS - under the proposed plan, the city would expand existing access to waterfronts (existing waterfront access shown in the green circles on the preceding concept graphic) and develop trailhead, trail, and a railroad overpass to the tidelands outcrop knoll located at the north end of Merrill & Ring Creek (shown in yellow circle number 10). How would you rate this proposal?**


Answered: 853 Skipped: 18



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
Develop tideland access from Merrill & Ring Creek?	2%	5%	25%	45%	22%	853
	21	44	209	388	191	

**Q5 COMMUNITY GARDENS - under the proposed plan, the city would add to the existing community gardens (shown in green circles on the preceding graphic) with community gardens at Hannabrook Park and a location south of the Everett Mall (shown in yellow circles numbers 5 and 6).  
How would you rate this proposal?**


Answered: 856 Skipped: 15



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
Add additional community gardens in central and south Everett?	7% 59	15% 131	29% 248	34% 292	15% 126	856

**Q6 ON AND OFF-ROAD TRAILS - under the proposed plan, the city would develop on and off-road hike and bike trails to complete networks accessing the waterfronts, parks, schools, and other community destinations (proposed on-road trails are shown in dashed blue and off-road trails in dashed red on the preceding concept graphic). How would you rate this proposal?**


Answered: 862 Skipped: 9



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
Develop on and off-road hike and bike trail network?	2% 15	6% 55	19% 168	40% 346	32% 278	862

**Q7 DOG PARKS - under the proposed plan, the city would develop additional off-leash dog parks at Langus Riverfront Park and Walter E Hall Park (shown in the yellow circles numbers 4 and 5 in the preceding concept graphic) to supplement the existing dog parks or off-leash areas at Lowell Park, Loganberry Lane Park, and Howarth Park (shown in green circles). How would you rate this proposal?**


Answered: 864 Skipped: 7



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
Develop additional off-leash dog parks?	14% 123	17% 151	33% 285	24% 208	11% 97	864

**Q8 PLAYGROUNDS - under the proposed plan, the city would acquire and develop additional sites to provide playgrounds within a 0.5 mile radius of residents in the city (yellow circles numbers 37-42 are proposed playgrounds, green circles existing city playgrounds, and red circles existing school playgrounds on the preceding concept graphic). How would you rate this proposal?**


Answered: 861 Skipped: 10



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
Acquire and develop additional neighborhood playgrounds?	4%	11%	33%	36%	18%	
	31	91	281	306	152	861

**Q9 PICNIC SITES AND TABLES - under the proposed plan, the city would acquire and develop additional picnicking sites and picnic tables (in conjunction with acquisition and development of additional playgrounds) to provide picnicking facilities within 0.5 miles of residents in Everett (proposed picnicking sites are shown in yellow circles numbers 23-34 and existing picnicking sites in green circles in the preceding concept graphic). How would you rate this proposal?**


Answered: 863 Skipped: 8



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
Acquire and develop additional neighborhood picnic sites?	4% 37	14% 119	38% 331	32% 276	12% 100	863

**Q10 GROUP PICNIC SHELTERS AND PARKS - under the proposed plan, the city would acquire and develop (in conjunction with playgrounds and neighborhood picnic sites) group picnic shelters with volleyball courts, skate dots, and park activities (proposed group picnic shelters are shown in yellow circles numbers 11-17 and existing group sites shown in green circles on the preceding concept graphic). How would you rate this proposal?**


Answered: 859 Skipped: 12



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
Acquire and develop group picnic and park sites?	5% 39	13% 113	41% 356	31% 267	10% 84	859

**Q11 SOCCER FIELDS - under the proposed plan, the city would develop 2 all weather turf soccer/multipurpose fields at Senator Henry M Jackson Park (shown in the yellow circle number 16 on the preceding concept graphic) to supplement the 15 other city, school, and other soccer facilities (shown in green circles for city, red circles for schools, and blue circles for Navy Everett and Paine Field). How would you rate this proposal?**


Answered: 865 Skipped: 6



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
Develop 2 all weather turf soccer fields?	13% 111	25% 219	34% 294	21% 178	7% 63	865

**Q12 AQUATIC, ARTS, YOUTH, SENIOR, SOCIAL CENTERS - under the proposed plan, the city would upgrade the swimming pool and consolidate all recreation and community buildings at Forest Park (shown in the yellow circle number 1 on the preceding concept graphic). The city would also develop a new recreation and community center in south Everett probably at Walter E Hall Park (shown in yellow circle number 21 on the preceding concept graphic) to compliment the wide variety of other public, nonprofit, and for-profit facilities in Everett (shown in the green circles - the YMCA's proposed new facility is shown in the yellow circle number 2).  
How would you rate this proposal?**


Answered: 865 Skipped: 6



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
Upgrade swimming pool and consolidate buildings into one recreation and community center at Forest Park?	3% 29	12% 100	31% 268	37% 318	17% 147	862
Develop a new recreation and community center in south Everett?	6% 43	13% 93	31% 227	31% 229	20% 147	739

**Q13 SPECIAL EVENTS - various organizations host special events throughout the year. The city supports these activities by providing security, equipment set-up and take-down, trash and site cleanup, and a number of other services which are costly. The city could use a weighted scale to determine how to support each event considering: 1) the extent of benefit to the public-at-large, 2) the percent of participants and spectators who are local residents, 3) the economic stimulus of the event on local businesses, and other factors. To what extent would you support this policy approach?**


Answered: 847 Skipped: 24



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
Provide weighted scale of support for special events based on public benefits	5%	11%	37%	37%	10%	847
	40	91	317	315	84	

**Q14 The existing inventory of Everett open spaces, trails, parks, and recreation facilities is estimated to be worth \$4,944 per an average single family house. This amount is approximately what it would cost to add additional park facilities for each newly developed single family house if the city is to maintain the same standards in the future for park, recreation, and open space facilities that the city is currently providing existing residents. Based on this information, how would you rate the following methods for dealing with the impact of new residential development in Everett on park acquisition and development including the proposals outlined in the preceding questions?**


Answered: 860 Skipped: 11



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
Allow population growth to outpace growth in park facilities?	27% 229	30% 247	29% 243	10% 81	4% 34	834
Collect a one-time park growth impact fee from new housing development projects to pay for or provide additional park acquisitions and development?	5% 43	5% 38	20% 165	37% 305	34% 279	830

**Q15 If a park growth impact fee were to be charged developers of new residential construction, what percent or amount of the \$4,944 park value or cost per new single family house would you recommend be charged? Note - any amount that is less than 100% that is charged the developer of a new single family house will have to be financed with other city funds.**


Answered: 853 Skipped: 18



	0%=\$0	25%=\$1,236	50%=\$2,472	75%=\$3,708	100%=\$4,944	Total
Percent to be charged developer of every new single family house	5%	20%	20%	16%	38%	
	46	170	173	137	327	853

**Q16 Growth impact fees cannot be used to add or improve park facilities for existing residents - or for maintenance or operational needs. Additional funds will ultimately be necessary to meet Everett's projected park and recreation requirements. Given this fact, how would you rate the following possible methods for financing some of Everett's park and recreation facility acquisition, development, maintenance, and operational needs - all of which require voter approval?**


Answered: 851 Skipped: 20



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
Submit a BOND - to pay for acquisition and development of existing or additional park facilities (note - bonds cannot pay for maintenance and operations)?	13% 112	21% 171	43% 354	17% 144	6% 51	832
Submit a limited duration LEVY (typically 7 years) - to acquire, develop, maintain, and operate existing and future park facilities?	11% 90	15% 125	38% 313	27% 221	8% 69	818
RESET the property tax RATE with the increase dedicated exclusively to parks to acquire, develop, maintain, and operate existing and future park facilities until the needed amount has been raised after which the property tax rate reverts back?	27% 230	19% 161	29% 240	17% 140	8% 67	838

**Q17 If City Council were to place a bond or a limited duration 7-year levy or a property tax rate reset on the ballot to finance park and recreation acquisition, development, maintenance, and operations how much would your household be willing to PAY PER YEAR?**


Answered: 847 Skipped: 24



	\$0	\$25	\$50	\$75	\$100	\$125	\$150	\$151+	Total
Annual amount?	11%	17%	16%	6%	27%	5%	10%	7%	847
	96	140	135	54	232	46	82	62	

**Q18 METROPOLITAN PARK DISTRICT (MPD) - as an option, City Council could place a referendum on the ballot for voter approval (50% +1 needed for approval) authorizing the creation of a Metropolitan Park District to coincide with Everett city limits. An MPD is a separate junior taxing district able to levy a dedicated property tax up to \$0.75 per \$1,000 value (\$75 per \$100,000 value) dedicated exclusively to the acquisition, development, maintenance, and operation of park and recreation programs and facilities. How would you rate this option for providing a dedicated source of funds?**


Answered: 822 Skipped: 49



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
If City Council members are the MPD Board members	26% 214	25% 201	33% 268	13% 104	4% 29	816
If MPD Board members are separately elected	22% 168	16% 125	32% 248	19% 147	11% 83	771

### Q19 What recreational programs do you think should be offered in Everett for the following age groups that your household members would use?

Answered: 847 Skipped: 24




	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
Toddlers and Preschoolers	39% 295	12% 92	18% 135	20% 149	12% 93	764
Elementary school age youth	33% 251	9% 68	17% 127	26% 194	15% 116	756
Teens (middle and high school)	32% 243	10% 74	20% 154	23% 176	15% 111	758
Adults	7% 57	7% 53	27% 213	32% 249	26% 206	778
Seniors (62+ years)	20% 158	9% 71	22% 175	27% 211	21% 168	783
Families	16% 120	6% 45	23% 170	31% 235	24% 179	749
Developmentally disabled (school age)	37% 275	11% 81	21% 158	19% 141	11% 82	737

Everett PROS Plan Evaluation Survey

Developmentally disabled (adult)	<b>38%</b> 278	<b>12%</b> 87	<b>21%</b> 157	<b>19%</b> 138	<b>10%</b> 75	735
----------------------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------------	-----

**Q20 What recreational programs do you think should be offered in Everett (by the city or any other groups) for the following interest areas that your household members would use?**

Answered: 857 Skipped: 14



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
Out-of-school/child care	41% 321	15% 118	19% 145	16% 124	9% 68	776
Health and fitness	5% 43	6% 49	27% 222	39% 320	24% 197	831
Visual arts	11% 87	16% 133	33% 274	26% 215	14% 111	820
Performing arts	9% 78	16% 128	31% 254	30% 249	14% 113	822

## Everett PROS Plan Evaluation Survey

Sports and athletics	<b>11%</b> 91	<b>13%</b> 102	<b>29%</b> 238	<b>31%</b> 252	<b>16%</b> 129	812
Community events	<b>5%</b> 45	<b>6%</b> 52	<b>34%</b> 283	<b>36%</b> 299	<b>18%</b> 151	830
Outdoor recreation	<b>3%</b> 23	<b>4%</b> 37	<b>22%</b> 183	<b>40%</b> 330	<b>31%</b> 254	827
Aquatics (swim programs)	<b>8%</b> 69	<b>13%</b> 104	<b>28%</b> 233	<b>29%</b> 243	<b>22%</b> 181	830
Health and safety programs	<b>10%</b> 81	<b>14%</b> 114	<b>37%</b> 304	<b>26%</b> 213	<b>13%</b> 103	815
Senior (62+ years) programs	<b>20%</b> 158	<b>11%</b> 89	<b>25%</b> 202	<b>25%</b> 205	<b>19%</b> 153	807

**Q21 If the programs you selected require more money than can be currently budgeted from city funds to provide, how would you rate the following methods of paying for them?**


Answered: 849 Skipped: 22



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
Reduce the total number of programs to control costs	11% 94	22% 181	39% 326	18% 147	10% 86	834
Reduce the content, variety, and duration of existing programs to control costs	11% 87	23% 190	41% 336	18% 149	8% 64	826
Increase user fees to finance program services	9% 77	19% 161	33% 275	30% 249	10% 81	843
Increase property taxes to finance needed program services	28% 229	22% 183	27% 224	17% 137	7% 57	830

### Q22 How would you rate the desirability of partnerships with the following organizations for the operation of RECREATION PROGRAMS?


Answered: 841 Skipped: 30



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
With other public agencies	4% 30	5% 44	38% 316	37% 312	16% 135	837
With nonprofit organizations	3% 21	3% 28	29% 243	42% 351	23% 193	836
With for-profit enterprises	22% 181	21% 178	31% 255	18% 154	8% 68	836

### Q23 How would you rate the desirability of partnerships with the following organizations for the DEVELOPMENT OF PARK AND RECREATION FACILITIES?


Answered: 841 Skipped: 30



	Lowest	Low	Moderate	High	Highest	Total
With other public agencies	3% 23	5% 46	34% 286	40% 332	18% 150	837
With nonprofit organizations	3% 22	4% 31	32% 270	40% 332	22% 183	838
With for-profit enterprises	20% 171	20% 167	32% 268	18% 152	9% 77	835

### Q24 Where do you live based on the map above?


Answered: 863 Skipped: 8



Answer Choices	Responses	
Area 1	38%	325
Area 2	30%	262
Area 3	11%	97
Area 4	0%	2
Area 5	13%	110
Area 6	7%	61
Not any areas shown	1%	6
<b>Total</b>		<b>863</b>

### Q25 How many years have you lived in Everett?


Answered: 863 Skipped: 8



Answer Choices	Responses	
0-1	7%	64
2-5	17%	151
6-10	15%	130
11-20	22%	187
21+	38%	326
Don't live in Everett	1%	5
<b>Total</b>		<b>863</b>

### Q26 Where do you work?


Answered: 861 Skipped: 10



Answer Choices	Responses	
Retired	21%	182
Not currently working	8%	70
Everett	43%	369
Elsewhere in Snohomish County	14%	118
Elsewhere	14%	122
<b>Total</b>		<b>861</b>

### Q27 What type of housing do you live in?


Answered: 861 Skipped: 10



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Owner occupied	77%	665
Rental	23%	196
<b>Total</b>		<b>861</b>

### Q28 How many people in your household are in the following age groups?


Answered: 862 Skipped: 9



	0	1	2	3	4	5+	Total
under 18	59% 372	18% 112	17% 104	4% 27	1% 9	1% 4	628
18-24	77% 388	17% 85	5% 27	1% 4	0% 2	0% 0	506
25-34	60% 313	19% 100	20% 102	0% 2	0% 0	0% 1	518
35-49	50% 288	23% 132	25% 145	0% 2	1% 3	0% 1	571
50-64	37% 223	30% 181	31% 188	1% 4	0% 1	1% 3	600
65+	60% 290	21% 102	19% 90	1% 3	0% 0	0% 1	486

### Q29 Are you a registered voter of the city of Everett?

Answered: 861 Skipped: 10



	No	Yes	Total
Are you a registered voter of the city of Everett?	4% 34	96% 827	861

**Q30 Do you have any specific comments to make that the prior proposals described in this survey did not address?**

Answered: 271 Skipped: 600

**Q31 Do you have any specific comments or recommendations to make about the proposed park plan update or this survey?**

Answered: 263 Skipped: 608

**Q32 Provide your name and email or phone number if you would like to be entered in the drawing for a \$250 gift certificate.**

Answered: 728 Skipped: 143

Answer Choices	Responses	
Name	100%	725
Email/phone	100%	726